

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses and requests reconsideration.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the notice that all of the pending claims are allowable if 35 U.S.C. §101 rejections are overcome. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 12 as requested by the Examiner to indicate that the methods are computer executed methods that may be executed by one or more computing devices.

Claims 23 and 25-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as allegedly not providing any hardware components. Applicant respectfully traverses and notes that the claims require processing entities such as different devices as shown in the figures and described in the Specification that communicate with one another and operate as claimed. Therefore, the claims are not directed solely to software as alleged. In the interest of advanced prosecution, Applicant has amended the claims to refer to devices.

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited to contact the below-listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 17, 2009

By: /Christopher J. Reckamp/
Christopher J. Reckamp
Registration No. 34,414

Vedder Price P.C.
222 N. LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60601
Phone: (312) 609-7599
Fax: (312) 609-5005